Forest and Landscape Planning
SV0052, 30294.2526

15 Hp
Pace of study = 100%
Education cycle = Basic
Course leader = Luis Andrés Guillén Alm

Evaluation report

Evaluation period: 2026-03-16 - 2026-04-06

Answers	3
Number of students	9
Answer frequency	33 %

Mandatory standard questions

1. My overall impression of the course is:



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,0
Median: 4

Very poor : 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 3
Very good/well: 0
No opinion: 0

2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,7
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
I completely agree: 2
No opinion: 0

3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,3
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 2
I completely agree: 1

No opinion: 0

4. The information about the course was easily accessible.



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,0
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 1
I completely agree: 1

No opinion: 0

5. The various course components (lectures, course literature, exercises etc.) have supported my learning.



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,0
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 3
I completely agree: 0

No opinion: 0

6. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,5
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
I completely agree: 1
No opinion: 1

7. The physical learning environment (facilities, equipment etc.) has been satisfactory.



Number of answers: 3
Average: 3,3
Median: 3

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 2
4: 1
I completely agree: 0
No opinion: 0

8. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,7
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
I completely agree: 2
No opinion: 0

9. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,7
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
I completely agree: 2

No opinion: 0

10. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).



Number of answers: 3
Average: 4,5
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
I completely agree: 1

No opinion: 1

11. The course covered international perspectives.



Number of answers: 3
Average: 3,7
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 2
I completely agree: 0

No opinion: 0

12. On average, I have spent … hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).



Number of answers: 3
Average: 40,0
Median: 36-45

< 5: 0
6-15: 0
16-25: 0
26-35: 0
36-45: 3
> 46: 0

No opinion: 0

Course leaders comments

The course had a very positive evaluation from the students (4 out of 5). In this semester the course had a total of nine students, meaning that a lot of resources could be put into the teaching efforts per student. Students were appreciative of the course set-up and evaluation strategy, being carried out at the end of each week and in conjunction with the week of theme assignment. Students showed a high level of comprehension at the end of the course.

Canvas and course organisation issues from the last year were successfully resolved. Yet, there is still room for improvement in regards to the software issues linked to the use of very specialised software used in the class. (N.B. trouble shooting software problem is not an uncommon problem in science or practice, but we understand the expectation from the students that all should work smoothly, as with commercial software).

There were some misunderstanding regarding scheduled activities, but this was mainly in regards to tutoring or online tutoring. Therefore, we will put efforts to improve the communication in regards to these aspects in the upcoming year.

Internal comments

The course had a very positive evaluation from the students (4 out of 5). In this semester the course had a total of nine students, meaning that a lot of resources could be put into the teaching efforts per student. Students were appreciative of the course set-up and evaluation strategy, being carried out at the end of each week and in conjunction with the week of theme assignment. Students showed a high level of comprehension at the end of the course.

Canvas and course organisation issues from the last year were successfully resolved. Yet, there is still room for improvement in regards to the software issues linked to the use of very specialised software used in the class. (N.B. trouble shooting software problem is not an uncommon problem in science or practice, but we understand the expectation from the students that all should work smoothly, as with commercial software).

There were some misunderstanding regarding scheduled activities, but this was mainly in regards to tutoring or online tutoring. Therefore, we will put efforts to improve the communication in regards to these aspects in the upcoming year.

Student representatives comments

Overall, the students were satisfied with the course. Namely, they seemed to appreciate the way a new assignment/module started every week and was done by the end of it. They also enjoyed the content and goals of the course, as well as how they were able to show what they have learnt over the course of the programme in a spherical way.

Most of the complaints were from technical/administrative problems that could and should have been resolved before the course started. Another issue that was mentioned is that sometimes the schedule was not very clear, which lead to confusion between students and teachers regarding scheduling tutoring sessions.

Not many students completed the course review, but from personal conversations with them, most expressed the

same likes and dislikes about the course. A very common complaint not mentioned in the written reviews is that many students found that the objectives of each assignment were not very clear until next ones were completed. It could be helpful to know beforehand in order to properly allocate resources to each assignment.