



# Immunology and Allergy in a Food Perspective BI1428, 10238.2526

7.5 Hp  
Pace of study = 100%  
Education cycle = Basic  
Course leader = Eugene Savenkov

## Evaluation report

---


Evaluation period: 2025-10-26 - 2025-11-16

Answers 3  
Number of students 8  
Answer frequency 37 %

## Mandatory standard questions

---

### 1. My overall impression of the course is:




Answers: 3  
Medel: 4,7  
Median: 5

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 1  
5: 2

No opinion: 0

### 2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.




Answers: 3  
Medel: 5,0  
Median: 5

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 0  
5: 3

No opinion: 0

**3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.**




Answers: 3  
 Medel: 4,7  
 Median: 5

1: 0  
 2: 0  
 3: 0  
 4: 1  
 5: 2

No opinion: 0

**4. The information about the course was easily accessible.**




Answers: 3  
 Medel: 5,0  
 Median: 5

1: 0  
 2: 0  
 3: 0  
 4: 0  
 5: 3

No opinion: 0

**5. The various course components (lectures, course literature, exercises etc.) have supported my learning.**



Answers: 3  
 Medel: 4,0  
 Median: 4

1: 0  
 2: 0  
 3: 0  
 4: 3  
 5: 0

No opinion: 0

**6. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.**



Answers: 3  
 Medel: 5,0  
 Median: 5

1: 0  
 2: 0  
 3: 0  
 4: 0  
 5: 3



No opinion: 0

**7. The physical learning environment (facilities, equipment etc.) has been satisfactory.**




Answers: 3  
Medel: 5,0  
Median: 5

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 0  
5: 3

No opinion: 0

**8. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).**




Answers: 3  
Medel: 4,7  
Median: 5

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 1  
5: 2

No opinion: 0

**9. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).**



Answers: 3

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 0  
5: 0

No opinion: 3

**10. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).**




Answers: 3

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 0  
5: 0

No opinion: 3

#### 11. The course covered international perspectives.




Answers: 3

Medel: 5,0  
Median: 5

1: 0  
2: 0  
3: 0  
4: 0  
5: 1

No opinion: 2

#### 12. On average, I have spent ... hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).



Answers: 3

Medel: 30,0  
Median: 26-35

≤5: 0  
6-15: 0  
16-25: 0  
26-35: 3  
36-45: 0  
≥46: 0

No opinion: 0

## Course leaders comments

### Tutor comments

*Tutor comments on course evaluation of Immunologi och allergi i ett livsmedelsperspektiv, BI1428, 2025-12-16*

Three out of eight students (37%) responded to the course evaluation.

### Comments on the answers to the required standard questions:

This was the third time the course was conducted in the new 7.5 hp format. The general impression of the course was rated 4.7 / 5.0, and the information/administration received 5.0 / 5.0. Overall, the students were very satisfied with the course.

All students agreed that the course content had a clear connection to the learning objectives (5.0 / 5.0).

The reported weekly workload was similar across respondents: all students spent approximately 26–35 hours per week, which is reasonable for a full-time course. All students felt they had sufficient prior knowledge and prerequisites to follow the course (4.7 / 5.0).

Students agreed that the course activities (lectures, literature, exercises, etc.) supported their learning (4.0 / 5.0).

The social learning environment and discussion climate were described as inclusive, with mutual respect for different perspectives (5.0 / 5.0). The examination was well received; the students agreed that it allowed them to demonstrate what they had learned (4.7 / 5.0).

The physical learning environment was rated as very good (5.0 / 5.0).

As teachers, we will take the students' comments into account and continue to maintain the high standard when offering the course next year.

**Highlights from student comments:**

“Väldigt bra föreläsare och labbhandledare! Labbarna var roliga och bra.”

## **Student representatives comments**

No comments from the student representatives