Studio - Large scale landscape project
LK0314, 30125.2526

15 Hp
Pace of study = 100%
Education cycle = Advanced
Course leader = Tomas Eriksson

Evaluation report

Evaluation period: 2026-03-16 - 2026-04-06

Answers	4
Number of students	12
Answer frequency	33 %

Mandatory standard questions

1. My overall impression of the course is:



Number of answers: 4
Average: 4,8
Median: 5

Very poor : 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
Very good/well: 3
No opinion: 0

2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.



Number of answers: 4
Average: 5,0
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 0
I completely agree: 4
No opinion: 0

3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.



Number of answers: 4
Average: 4,3
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 1
I completely agree: 2
No opinion: 0

4. The information about the course was easily accessible.



Number of answers: 4
Average: 4,8
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
I completely agree: 3
No opinion: 0

5. The various course components (lectures, course literature, exercises etc.) have supported my learning.



Number of answers: 4
Average: 4,8
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
I completely agree: 3
No opinion: 0

6. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.



Number of answers: 4
Average: 5,0
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 0
I completely agree: 4

No opinion: 0

7. The physical learning environment (facilities, equipment etc.) has been satisfactory.



Number of answers: 4
Average: 3,5
Median: 3

I completely disagree: 0
2: 1
3: 1
4: 1
I completely agree: 1

No opinion: 0

8. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).



Number of answers: 4
Average: 5,0
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 0
I completely agree: 4

No opinion: 0

9. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).



Number of answers: 4
Average: 5,0
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 0
I completely agree: 4

No opinion: 0

10. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).



Number of answers: 4
Average: 4,7
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
I completely agree: 2

No opinion: 1

11. The course covered international perspectives.



Number of answers: 4
Average: 3,5
Median: 3

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 3
4: 0
I completely agree: 1

No opinion: 0

12. On average, I have spent … hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).



Number of answers: 4
Average: 37,5
Median: 36-45

< 5: 0
6-15: 0
16-25: 0
26-35: 1
36-45: 3
> 46: 0

No opinion: 0

Course leaders comments

This year there were twelve students in the course, which was a lower number than in previous years. What this is due to is not clear, but it could be because other parallel courses have better profiles, made changes that made them more attractive, etc. Perhaps this course should do the same. This year, however, it was difficult and it went largely as in previous years.

Four out of twelve students have responded, which does not provide any statistical reliability regarding the grades and assessments given. The four who responded are positive in their assessments. Problems with premises and computers are mentioned.

I think it would be good if the course made some type of change, perhaps a changed distribution of focus on the two parts landscape analysis and design, perhaps choosing a new geographical area. Other teachers could also provide new perspectives.

/Tomas Eriksson, course leader

Internal comments

Student representatives comments

No comments from the student representatives