Technology for Animal Husbandry
TN0340, 10051.2223

15 Hp
Pace of study = 50%
Education cycle = Basic
Course leader = Torsten Hörndahl

Evaluation report

Evaluation period: 2023-01-08 - 2023-01-29

Answers	28
Number of students	39
Answer frequency	71 %

Mandatory standard questions

1. My overall impression of the course is:



Number of answers: 26
Average: 3,9
Median: 4

Very poor : 0
2: 0
3: 6
4: 16
Very good/well: 4

No opinion: 0

2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.



Number of answers: 26
Average: 4,2
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 4
4: 11
I completely agree: 10

No opinion: 1

3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.



Number of answers: 27
Average: 4,1
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 1
2: 0
3: 6
4: 9
I completely agree: 11
No opinion: 0

4. The information about the course was easily accessible.



Number of answers: 27
Average: 4,3
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 5
4: 10
I completely agree: 12
No opinion: 0

5. The various course components (lectures, course literature, exercises etc.) have supported my learning.



Number of answers: 28
Average: 4,3
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 3
4: 14
I completely agree: 11
No opinion: 0

6. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.



Number of answers: 28
Average: 4,3
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 4
4: 11
I completely agree: 13

No opinion: 0

7. The physical learning environment (facilities, equipment etc.) has been satisfactory.



Number of answers: 28
Average: 4,0
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 2
3: 6
4: 9
I completely agree: 11

No opinion: 0

8. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).



Number of answers: 28
Average: 4,4
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 3
4: 10
I completely agree: 15

No opinion: 0

9. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).



Number of answers: 28
Average: 4,6
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 2
4: 8
I completely agree: 18

No opinion: 0

10. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).



Number of answers: 28
Average: 4,0
Median: 4

I completely disagree: 0
2: 0
3: 7
4: 9
I completely agree: 8

No opinion: 4

11. The course covered international perspectives.



Number of answers: 28
Average: 4,1
Median: 5

I completely disagree: 0
2: 2
3: 7
4: 4
I completely agree: 14

No opinion: 1

12. On average, I have spent … hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).



Number of answers: 28
Average: 32,1
Median: 26-35

< 5: 0
6-15: 0
16-25: 7
26-35: 10
36-45: 8
> 46: 3

No opinion: 0

13. If relevant, what is your overall experience of participating in all or part of your course online?



Number of answers: 28
Average: 3,5
Median: 3

Very poor : 0
2: 2
3: 5
4: 2
Very good/well: 3

No opinion: 16

14. If relevant, please share what worked well when participating in teaching on distance

14. If relevant, please share what worked less well when participating in teaching on distance

Course leaders comments

Det hade varit bra med högre svarsfrekvens men 71% visar att resultatet speglar en majoritet av stundenterna.

Resultatet av kursvärderingen indikerar att kursen fungera bra. Studenterna är särskilt nöjda med planeringsuppgiften som fungear som en syntes av kursen.

Det finns även saker som vi kan förbättra så som att ge mera stöd till studenter som vill arbeta med CAD när de gör ritningar.

Internal comments

Student representatives comments

Helhetsintrycket av kursen var 3,9 av 5.

Relativt få kommentarer tolkas som att kursen upplevdes som bra över lag.

Det de flesta kommentarerna handlar om är projektarbetet med att planera ett djurstall. Kommentarerna är över lag positiva och man tycker att projektet var bra och lärorikt. Det många föreslår som förbättring av projektet är att det hade innehållit en grundläggande introduktion i auto CAD. En del önskade även att få med lite ekonomiska aspekter i projektarbetet. Ett par kommentarer önskade möjligheten att rita fårstall i projektet.

Ett par kommentarer nämner att gästföreläsarna har uppskattats.