Advanced Animal Nutrition
HV0202, 10069.2324

15 Hp
Pace of study = 100%
Education cycle = Advanced
Course leader = Kartik Baruah

Evaluation report

Evaluation period: 2023-10-23 - 2023-11-13

Answers   5
Number of students 15
Answer frequency   33 %

Mandatory standard questions

1. My overall impression of the course is:

Answers: 5
Medel: 3,0
Median: 3

1: 0
2: 1
3: 3
4: 1
5: 0

No opinion: 0

2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.

Answers: 5
Medel: 3,8
Median: 4

1: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 4
5: 0

No opinion: 0

3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.


Answers: 5
Medel: 4,2
Median: 4

1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 4
5: 1

No opinion: 0

### 4. The information about the course was easily accessible.

Answers: 5
Medel: 2,2
Median: 2

1: 1
2: 2
3: 2
4: 0
5: 0

No opinion: 0

5. The various course components (lectures, course literature, exercises etc.) have supported my learning.


Answers: 5
Medel: 3,2
Median: 3

1: 0
2: 0
3: 4
4: 1
5: 0

No opinion: 0

6. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.


Answers: 5
Medel: 4,0
Median: 4

1: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 3
5: 1

No opinion: 0

7. The physical learning environment (facilities, equipment etc.) has been satisfactory.

Answers: 5
Medel: 3,6
Median: 4

1: 0
2: 0
3: 2
4: 3
5: 0

No opinion: 0

8. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).

Answers: 5
Medel: 4,4
Median: 4

1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 3
5: 2

No opinion: 0

9. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).

Answers: 5
Medel: 3,6
Median: 4

1: 0
2: 0
3: 2
4: 3
5: 0

No opinion: 0

10. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).

Answers: 5
Medel: 3,6
Median: 4

1: 0
2: 0
3: 2
4: 3
5: 0

No opinion: 0

## 11. The course covered international perspectives.

Answers: 5
Medel: 2,8
Median: 3

1: 1
2: 0
3: 3
4: 1
5: 0

No opinion: 0

12. On average, I have spent … hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).

Answers: 5
Medel: 30,0
Median: 26-35

≤5: 0
6-15: 0
16-25: 2
26-35: 1
36-45: 2
≥46: 0

No opinion: 0

Course leaders comments

This academic year, the course evaluation yielded a low response rate (05/15), providing a somewhat limited glimpse into the students' perspectives on the course. Overall, the feedback was positive, with an average course rating of 3.0 (on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is very poor and 5 is very good). Students indicated a clear alignment with learning objectives, scoring an average of 3.8 (on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is completely disagree and 5 is completely agree).

Additionally, students found various course components to be supportive of their learning, earning a score of 3.2 (on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is completely disagree and 5 is completely agree). Notably, they acknowledged the course's coverage of sustainable development, giving it a score of 3.6 (on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is completely disagree and 5 is completely agree).

The students provided valuable suggestions to enhance examination effectiveness and foster a more inclusive social learning environment. Particularly constructive feedback was offered regarding the organization of announcements related to course lectures, seminars, and quizzes on Canvas. Students recommended addressing course-related issues in the Canvas comment section and emphasized the importance of timely dissemination of course information. The course leader and examiner will consider this feedback for improvements in the upcoming year.

Student representatives comments

Comprehensive Course Evaluation Report

Course Information:

- Number of Respondents: 5
- Total Registered Students: 15
- Response Rate: 33%

Overall Impression:

1. Mean: 3.0
2. Median: 3
3. Comments:
    - "Should be more organized in announcements "

Course Content Alignment:

1. Mean: 3.8
2. Median: 4

Prior Knowledge Relevance:

1. Mean: 4.2
2. Median: 4

Accessibility of Course Information:

1. Mean: 2.2
2. Median: 2
3. Comments:
    - "Materials not uploaded in Canvas before/after lectures "
    - "Information about different blocks announced very late. Unclear instructions for the project "
    - "Various exercises information released very late. Poor information about individual project "

Course Components Support:

1. Mean: 3.2
2. Median: 3

Inclusivity of Social Learning Environment:

1. Mean: 4.0
2. Median: 4

Satisfactory Physical Learning Environment:

1. Mean: 3.6
2. Median: 4
3. Comments:
    - "Not many sockets for computer chargers in Ratatosk "

Examinations' Effectiveness:

1. Mean: 4.4

2. Median: 4

Coverage of Sustainable Development:

1. Mean: 3.6
2. Median: 4

Inclusion of Gender and Equality Aspects:

1. Mean: 3.6
2. Median: 4

Coverage of International Perspectives:

1. Mean: 2.8
2. Median: 3

Average Hours/Week Spent on the Course:

1. Mean: 30.0
2. Median: 26-35

General Comments:
- The overall response rate is 33%, with 5 out of 15 students providing feedback.
- Specific positive aspects include the effectiveness of examinations and the inclusive social learning environment.
- Constructive feedback highlights issues with the organization of announcements, accessibility of course materials, and limited facilities in the physical learning environment.
- Recommendations for improvement include addressing issues raised in comments, providing timely information, and enhancing accessibility.