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## Evaluation report

Evaluation period: 2024-01-07 - 2024-01-28
Answers 6
Number of students 14
Answer frequency 42 \%

Mandatory standard questions

1. My overall impression of the course is:

2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.

3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,8
Median: 5
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
5: 5
No opinion: 0
4. The information about the course was easily accessible.

5. The various course components (lectures, course literature, exercises etc.) have supported my learning.


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,8
Median: 5
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 1
5: 5
No opinion: 0
6. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.


7. The physical learning environment (facilities, equipment etc.) has been satisfactory.


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,7
Median: 5
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 2
5: 4
No opinion: 0
8. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).


Answers: 6
Medel: 5,0
Median: 5
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 0
5: 6
No opinion: 0
9. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,5
Median: 4
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 3
5: 3
No opinion: 0
10. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,2
Median: 4
1: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 3
5: 2
No opinion: 0
11. The course covered international perspectives.


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,3
Median: 4
1: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 2
5: 3
No opinion: 0
12. On average, I have spent ... hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).


Answers: 6
Medel: 33,7
Median: 26-35
s5: 0
6-15: 1
16-25: 0
26-35: 2
36-45: 1
$\geq 46$ : 2
No opinion: 0

## Additional own questions

13. Give your opinion about Block I - What is science (including lectures/seminars and museum visit)?
Answers: 6
Medel: 4,5
Median: 4
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0

14. Give your overall opinion on Block II - Scientific methods? What did you think of the lectures and seminars included in this part of the course?


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,3
Median: 4
1: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 2
5: 3
No opinion: 0
15. Give your overall opinion on Block III laboratory animal science.


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,7
Median: 5
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 2
5: 4
No opinion: 0
16. Give your opinion on the Journal club.


Answers: 6
Medel: 3,8
Median: 3
1: 0
2: 1
3: 2
4: 0
5: 3
No opinion: 0
17. Give your overall opinion about the project work, Block IV.


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,7
Median: 5
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 2
5: 4
No opinion: 0
18. Give your opinion about the speed talks (both having a speed talk and listening to them).


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,0
Median: 4
1: 0
2: 1
3: 0
4: 3
5: 2
No opinion: 0
19. Give your opinion about the review process in the project work.


Answers: 6
Medel: 4,3
Median: 4
1: 0
2: 0
3: 1
4: 2
5: 3
No opinion: 0
20. Give your overall opinion about the poster presentation, also with regard to making the poster.


21. Do you think the length and the timing of the project work was enough and good?


Answers: 6
Medel: 5,0
Median: 5
1: 0
2: 0
3: 0
4: 0
5: 6
No opinion: 0

## Course leaders comments

No comments from the teacher

## Student representatives comments

## Course evaluation

The course evaluation had a higher answer rate than in previous years with $42 \%$. The majority thought that the overall impression of the course was good (median 4 of 5), and they could see that the course correlated with the course "goal". Previous knowledge of the students was sufficient to utilise the course (median 5 of 5) and the course lectures, exercises and literature supported their learning (median 5 of 5 ), which also were applied to the social and physical environment (median 5 of 5 on both). On average the students have spent about 26 hours or more per week (median 26-36 hours). Block I and Block II scored a median of 4 out of 5 on overall opinion on lectures, excursions and exercises. Block III and Block IV scored a median of 5 out of 5. The Journal Club had a lower score with a 3 out of 5 median. The speed talks as well as the review process in the project work scored a median of 4 out of 5 . The questions concerning the project work all scored a median of 5 out of 5 .

In conclusion, the students who answered the course evaluation were satisfied with the course.

