


Product Development and Innovation Systems in Horticulture FÖ0435, 20171.2223

15 Hp Pace of study = 100% Education cycle = Advanced Course leader = Sara Spendrup

Evaluation report


Evaluation period: 2023-01-08-2023-01-29Answers55Number of students9Answer frequency55 %


Mandatory standard questions



1. My overall impression of the course is:


2. I found the course content to have clear links to the learning objectives of the course.






3. My prior knowledge was sufficient for me to benefit from the course.


4. The information about the course was easily accessible.




5. The various course components (lectures, course literature, exercises etc.) have supported my learning.



6. The social learning environment has been inclusive, respecting differences of opinion.







100 Answers: 5 Medel: 4.6 80 Median: 5 procent 1:0 2: 0 3: 0 40 4: 2 5: 3 No opinion: 0 0 1. I completely disagree 5. I completely agree No opinion

7. The physical learning environment (facilities, equipment etc.) has been satisfactory.


8. The examination(s) provided opportunity to demonstrate what I had learnt during the course (see the learning objectives).



9. The course covered the sustainable development aspect (environmental, social and/or financial sustainability).




10. I believe the course has included a gender and equality aspect, regarding content as well as teaching practices (e.g. perspective on the subject, reading list, allocation of speaking time and the use of master suppression techniques).




Answers: 5 Medel: 4,8 Median: 5


11. The course covered international perspectives.



12. On average, I have spent ... hours/week on the course (including timetabled hours).



13. If relevant, what is your overall experience of participating in all or part of your course online?





14. If relevant, please share what worked well when participating in teaching on distance

15. If relevant, please share what worked less well when participating in teaching on distance

Course leaders comments

Course evaluation for Product Development and Innovation Systems in Horticulture (2022)

The overall evaluation of the course was good (4,8). Links to the learning objectives were (4,8) and the course information was easily accessible (4,6). The students perceived that the various course components supported their learning (4,8) and the social learning was good (4,6) as well as the physical learning environment (4,6). The examinations provided a good opportunity to demonstrate learnings (4,6) and students perceived the course to cover sustainable development aspects (4,8), international perspectives (4,8) and gender perspectives (4,8). Even though the Covid-situation was less severe and allowed, for on campus activities, it had an impact on the course and some of the included educational activities. Hybrid was sometimes difficult to manage, and we will try to continue to avoid this next year. However, when appropriate we will continue to have activities digitally. Positive aspects will thus be kept and continue to be incorporated and considered post-corona.

The course book is appreciated, however, the link between the course book and teaching activities has to be developed further.

Exercises were perceived as relevant, however minor adjustments will continue to be implemented.

Next year we will continue to work on the inter-disciplinary parts of the course.

Within the teacher team we will also continue to work on developing the instructions connected to included exercises.

Student representatives comments

No comments from the student representatives

Kontakta support: <u>support@slu.se</u> - 018-67 6600