



Hälsofrämjande utomhusmiljöer LK0262, 30081.1617

15 Hp

Studietakt = 50%

Nivå och djup = Avancerad

Kursledare = Anna Bengtsson

Värderingsresultat

Värderingsperiod: 2017-06-13 - 2017-08-01


Antal svar 7

Studentantal 11

Svarsfrekvens 63 %

Obligatoriska standardfrågor

1. Hur många timmar per vecka har du i genomsnitt lagt ner på kursen (inklusive schemalagd tid)?




Antal svar: 7
Medel: 26,4
Median: 21-30

0-10: 0
11-20: 2
21-30: 2
31-40: 3
41-50: 0
>50: 0

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

2. Vad anser du om dina förkunskaper inför kursen?




Antal svar: 7
Medel: 3,1
Median: 3

1: 0
2: 1
3: 4
4: 2
5: 0

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

3. Hur har informationen/administrationen i samband med kursen fungerat?



Antal svar: 7

Medel: 4,1

Median: 5

1: 1

2: 0


3: 0

4: 2

5: 4

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

4. Jag anser att helhetsintrycket av kursen är mycket gott



Antal svar: 7

Medel: 4,9

Median: 5

1: 0

2: 0


3: 0

4: 1

5: 6

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

5. Jag anser att kursens svårighetsgrad har varit



Antal svar: 7

Medel: 3,3

Median: 3

1: 0

2: 0

3: 5

4: 2

5: 0

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

6. Jag anser att kursen har behandlat alla lärandemål som anges i kursplanen. Om Du markerar (1), (2), (3), eller (4) ange vilket/vilka lärandemål som blivit otillräckligt behandlade.



Antal svar: 7

Medel: 5,0

Median: 5

1: 0

2: 0

3: 0


4: 0



5: 7

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

7. Betygskriterierna var tydligt formulerade och enkla att förstå



Antal svar: 7

Medel: 4,4

Median: 5

1: 0

2: 0


3: 2

4: 0

5: 5

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

8. Jag anser att diskussionsklimatet under kursen har varit bra.



Antal svar: 7

Medel: 4,6

Median: 5

1: 0

2: 0


3: 1

4: 1

5: 5

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

9. Jag anser att nödvändig infrastruktur kring undervisningen som lokaler och utrustning har fungerat ändamålsenligt.



Antal svar: 7

Medel: 4,7

Median: 5

1: 0

2: 0

3: 1

4: 0

5: 6

Har ingen uppfattning: 0

Kursledarens kommentarer

1. The overall impression of the course was good. Content, information and instructions were useful and appreciated by the students. However, one student commented that instructions to connect to internet and access to online libraries were extensive and unclear. This will be remediated by the course leaders. Learning outcomes and grading criterias were mostly clear, but grading criterias for the group work could be further clarified. The course leaders will look into this. One student wanted more time for discussions at the course meetings. One student asked for class rooms with better equipment for visual presentations. The students also gave detailed feedback on e.g. study visits and exchanges between the different groups. They also hade suggestions for workshops and for instructions to the course assignment. All of this will be very useful for the further development of the course.

2. During the course the students form programs for the development of health promoting environments. These programs include proposals for the development of the physical environment as well as for an enhanced use of the environment and are all in line with a sustainable development and a sustainable use of natural resources

Studentrepresentantens kommentarer

Course Evaluation Report – Health Promoting Outdoors Environments.

The Course Health Promoting Outdoors Environments took place from the 16 of January 2017 to the 4th of June 2017. The evaluation of the course was done by 7 of 11 students and during the 13th of June and 20th of June. The evaluation consisted in 9 statistical analyzed closed questions and 2 open questions. An analysis of the answers is reported below by the student representative of the course.

In general 6 out of 7 consider that in general the course was very good, and that the learning goals are achieved along the course. 5 out of 7 consider the discussion in class were encouraged and that the program could have even more discussion time, more workshop style learning and less assignments. In relation with physical space of teaching one respondent claim that all of the lectures/meeting should happened in an attractive – designed room for outdoors environments planners.

With regards to number of studied hours, in average the students studied 26.4 hs and the majority of respondents studied between 30 and 40 hs per week. Also the majority of students consider that the difficulty of learning was neither too low nor to high and that is challenging to be on time with many assignments. To the question how was your previous knowledge before starting the course the majority consider that they considerable good knowledge before starting the course (not low – not high). In my capacity of course evaluation reporter I would argue that students with previous knowledge perform smoother and increase the level of the outcomes in the projects/assignments.

To the questions how the information/administration in relation with the course almost all of the respondents considered that it was very good. There is one person who give as feedback that the instructions to connect to internet (wifi) and use of the online libraries were extensive and unclear. With regards to information about evaluation 2 out of 6 respond that information on individual evaluation can be better informed when it comes to group works.

An aspect that can be improved is to inform to students the connection between lectures and purpose/goal of every lecture. I understand that to have a visual sequence and goal of lectures can be of help for sense making.